INITIALS

APPR: ST

DRAFT: PNR

OTHER: SMY

OTHER: LT

OTHER: JH

OTHER: JFC

OTHER: MP

RELEASE IN FULL

·

EUR/SE:LTREIBER S/NIS:JCOLLINS

S/S-0:

DECLASSIFIED

.

CONFIDENTIAL

S/NIS/RA:PNREMLER:PNR 02/28/97, 7-9116 THE DEPUTY SECRETARY

EUR/CASA: SMYOUNG S/NIS: JHERBST EUR/RPM: MPOWELL S/S:

PRIORITY

YEREVAN, BAKU PRIORITY, MOSCOW PRIORITY, PARIS

PRIORITY

ROUTINE USVIENNA ANKARA, BONN, COPENHAGEN, HELSINKI, STOCKHOLM,

EXDIS

DECAPTIONED

VIENNA FOR USDEL OSCE

E.O. 12958: DECL: 2/28/02

TAGS: PREL, PARM, OSCE, AJ, AM

SUBJECT: DEPUTY SECRETARY'S MEETING WITH ARMENIAN

FOREIGN MINISTER ARZOUMANYAN

Classified by: James F. Collins, Ambassador at Large, S/NIS, Department of State. Reason: 1.5 (b, d).

1. —(C)— Summary: The Deputy Secretary, and later S/NIS Ambassador Collins, met with Armenian FM Arzoumanyan February 28. The Deputy Secretary hoped that with three strong countries in the chair, we could make progress on resolving the Nagorno-Karabakh conflict peace this year. He sought Arzoumanyan's views on next steps. Arzoumanyan asserted that the bilateral channel was no longer usable and proposed a "three plus three" format, but backtracked quickly when the Deputy Secretary and Amb. Collins objected; he fell back to a position of waiting to see whether the Azerbaijanis could come up with peace proposals. Arzoumanyan sought U.S. help in opening the Turkish-Armenian border; he was concerned

2

that plans for regional transportation links might bypass Armenia. The Deputy Secretary declared U.S. willingness to use our good offices to help Armenia and Turkey improve relations. End Summary.

- 2. (c) The Deputy Secretary met Arzoumanyan for 45 minutes February 28, after which Arzoumanyan continued the discussion for another hour with Amb. Collins. Also present on the Armenian side were MFA spokesperson Arsen Gasparian, Armenian Ambassador to the U.S. Shugaryan and his DCM Tatoul Markarian. Sitting in on the U.S. side were S/NIS Herbst, EUR/CASA Young, S/NIS/RA Remler and D staffer Goldberg. EUR/CASA Mayhew also sat in on the meeting with Ambassador Collins.
- 3. (G) The Deputy Secretary led off by stressing that the U.S. wants to make a material difference in efforts to resolve the Nagorno-Karabakh conflict. He would hold discussions on it next week in Paris and Moscow, capitals of the other co-chairs. The troika was not a bad outcome. We could make the most-of our relations with France, and we could use the troika in a positive sense to test the proposition that the U.S. and Russia can genuinely cooperate on a regional conflict close to the Russian Federation. How did Arzoumanyan see the coming challenge?
- 4. (C) Arzoumanyan replied it would be difficult to go on with talks in the bilateral channel. It was difficult domestically, the NK leadership was jealous, and the Azerbaijanis have not provided the promised paper embodying their proposals. Arzoumanyan said talks could only proceed with NK Armenian participation. He proposed a "three plus three" format (U.S., Russia, France, Azerbaijan, Armenia, NK).
- 5.—(C)—The Deputy Secretary replied that a "3+3 in is not your the right format. Now is not the time to inject. Stepanakert into the Yerevan-Baku dialog. It would not be sometime to the goam to the goam to the workings of Armenian democracy, it was up to the GOAM to prepare public opinion for compromise. A 3+3 format would not lead public opinion to expect compromise. Collins added that presidents Aliyev and Ter Petrossian must take some basic decisions, and that means the bilateral channel. Stepanakert has a role in the Minsk Group, but trying to inject the NK Armenians into the bilateral channel would inject an argument that is not about substance and would set us back another six

3

months. (Collins stressed these points, and gave greater detail, in his subsequent meeting with Arzoumanyan.)

- 6. (c) Arzoumanyan backtracked. He stressed the need for an Azerbaijani interlocutor who had a "100 percent mandate" from Aliyev, "But shuttling around to European capitals to exchange general ideas is no longer acceptable." He noted that the Armenian MFA would be more active on NK under his stewardship, and that he was ready to meet "my good friend" Azerbaijani FM Hasanov to discuss the issue. Later, with Collins, Arzoumanyan said that an Azerbaijani paper would help revitalize the bilateral channel; Yerevan could consult closely with Stepanakert on it.
- 7. (c)—Arzoumanyan did not, however, rule out a renewal of the demands that NK participate.—In the Collins meeting he said the NK Armenians, having occupied so much of Azerbaijan's territory, felt it was up to Azerbaijan to come to them and talk before they would compromise. In Armenia, too, it was felt that if Azerbaijan considers NK to be part of itself, and the NK Armenians to be rebellious Azerbaijani-citizens, then Azerbaijan should sit down and talk with them, as governments did all over the world.
- 8. (c) A discussion on Iran (septel) led Arzoumanyan with it would be to make the point that Armenia neededwalternatives. The Westaleral Channel. It need the border with Turkey." The Deputy Secretary Cally, the The Lewocretic replied that the problem had many dimensions. The Turksave not provided the had significant interests and could play a role we went in the wanted better relations between Armenia and Turkey, and cour good offices could be useful to that end. The plus the Format (U.b. Deputy Secretary remained in close touch with the Turks ments.
- 9. (C) Arzoumanyan renewed this point later with New 1: Not the later Collins. He recounted his talks with FM Ciller, including her offer to open free trade if Armenia would recognize the Lisbon principles (Comment: it is not a clear that this offer, if in fact made, was an official trace, if the Turkish position. End Comment). Arzoumanyan noted that the had said this would be difficult for Armenia, since it had just rejected them, although just three days ago in Parliament the government announced that a solution must be based on compromise.
- 10. (c) The Turks, Arzoumanyan continued, were under

4

pressure from their business community. He observed that Turkey has signed an agreement with Georgia to lay 157 km of track to bypass Armenia. Arzoumanyan had told the Turks that this would be expensive, and in any case the climate would make the new line inoperable for four months of the year. Turkey wouldn't need the line if it opened its border with Armenia. Armenia was ready to offer its rail system to transport Turkish aid to Azerbaijani refugees. But the Turks did not respond.

- 11. (c) Collins replied that Armenia had a strong interest in being included in regional development.

 Development would be distorted unless we could find our way through the political problems. Amb. Collins pointed out that 1997 would be a year of decisions on pipelines and transportation. If Armenia were not in a position to participate in regional development, it would be costly to Armenia, and in no one's interest.
- 12. (c) Collins asked about the dynamics of those working on NK policy in Moscow. Arzoumanyan asked whether Pastukhov would assume the Minsk Conference Co-Chair. He said Pastukhov was a "typical Soviet" of the bureaucrat" who enjoyed good relations with Yeltsin and could sometimes see Yeltsin without Primakov present. The pastukhov also had good relations with the Azerbaijani ambassador to Moscow; they spent a lot of time together drinking and eating shashlik. The Armenians deal within on Table (septel) led Yukalov on a daily basis, but the best Russian experties. Armenia meded alto still Kazimirov, even though he is now in Costa Ricasin for the properties and could play the Armenian-Russian economic commission. Letter relative for medical to that the Armenian-Russian economic commission.
- 13. (c) Collins said we must in anymease engagery remained in close touch we Primakov. He noted that we were developing ideas of four went own to give the Deputy Secretary. The question was how best to approach matters of substance. (One scould any an assemble this tolks with FM C. at a time. One could work on a corespackage of tough the matter of issues, or one could take up issues camenable to Tables of the time of discussion in separate working groups of What thoughts are in the time of the did Armenia have on approaching substance, and what the transfer of the comments did it have on the Russian paper?
- 14. (e) Arzoumanyan said that although Armenia could work with the Russian paper, it put Azerbaijan's territorial integrity first, and "If you show that to Robert (Kocharyan), he'll throw the whole paper out. In

the second secon

engaging NK, you must be careful not to give them things immediately that they will reject; you must save them until later." He suggested that the best elements with which to start off involved the security of NK.

15. —(c) Collins ended with a request that President
Ter Petrossian think through the significance of the
troika. Before, there was only one government with both
interests in the region and major responsibility in the
Minsk Group. Others had interests, but not the
responsibility. Now, three important countries with
important interests also had responsibilities. There
was a growing constellation of interests in the U.S.,
France and Russia to push the parties. Ter Petrossian
should not be blind-sided: there-will-be pressure. The
U.S. wanted to work with the GOAM to inject some
creativity into the negotiations and energize real
movement. However, the U.S. would insist that the
parties accept responsibility for their agreements; the
solution, in the end, must come from the parties.

YY

Total semetimes see recipies without research drinking and cating shashlik. The Armental Yukalov on a daily basis, but the best huss still Maximirov, even though the is now but Retired Ambassador to Egypt Agopov, now an impact, as is Serve, the Recipies serves in the Recipies.

A SECTION OF THE PROPERTY OF T

13. (c) Collins said we must in any case Parimakov. He moted that we were developing own to give the Deputy Secretary. The ques dest to approach matters of substance. One present on entire plan, or one could work that the time. One Could lake up issues amenable discussion in acquaint and around discussion in acquaintering around discussion in acquaintering and around discussion in acquaintaing and and ance, comments with is well and a programments of the approach.